

# Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>Acknowledgments</b>   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>Abstract</b>  | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>1 State of the art</b>  | <b>13</b> |
| 1.1 Part A: General state of the art: Flow control assessment . . . . .  | 13        |
| 1.1.1 Aerodynamic challenges of aircraft design and overall aircraft-driven local low speed flow control application scenarios . . . . . | 13        |
| 1.1.2 Flow control nomenclature . . . . .  | 14        |
| 1.1.3 Aircraft with applied flow control: A historical overview . . . . .  | 15        |
| 1.1.4 Applications of active separation control for a commercial transport aircraft in low speed flight . . . . .                        | 20        |
| 1.1.5 State of the art flow control applications on wing leading edges .   | 24        |
| 1.1.6 Methods of multidisciplinary aircraft assessment in the conceptual and preliminary design phase . . . . .                          | 29        |
| 1.1.7 Multidisciplinary flow control integration studies . . . . .   | 32        |
| 1.2 Part B: State of the art methods for an aerodynamic improvement of the wing-engine integration . . . . .                             | 34        |
| 1.2.1 Overview of improvement methods and devices . . . . .  | 35        |
| 1.2.2 Flow topology in the region: Simplified vortex model . . . . .   | 39        |
| 1.2.3 Reynoldsnumber- and nacelle-effect on maximum lift . . . . .   | 44        |
| 1.2.4 Inboard high-lift device cutback trade curve . . . . .   | 45        |
| 1.2.5 Summarized problem and objective . . . . .   | 48        |
| <b>2 Objective of this doctoral dissertation</b>   | <b>51</b> |
| <b>3 Assessment of local active flow control: wing-pylon intersection</b>  | <b>53</b> |
| 3.1 High lift performance: Low speed wind tunnel test-setup . . . . .  | 53        |
| 3.1.1 Test preparations . . . . .  | 53        |
| 3.1.2 Choice of test configuration . . . . .   | 55        |
| 3.1.3 Model and test setup . . . . .   | 55        |
| 3.1.4 Measurements of flow control jet . . . . .   | 61        |
| 3.2 Analysis of wind tunnel test results . . . . .   | 63        |
| 3.2.1 Effect of droop nose cut-back . . . . .  | 63        |

|                        |   |            |
|------------------------|---|------------|
| 3.2.2                  | Effect of active flow control: Lift polar . . . . .                                     | 64         |
| 3.2.3                  | Effect of active flow control: Static jet pressure variation . . . . .                  | 67         |
| 3.2.4                  | Effect of active flow control: Jet Machnumber . . . . .                                 | 67         |
| 3.2.5                  | Effect of active flow control: Chord-wise slot position . . . . .                       | 69         |
| 3.2.6                  | Effect of active flow control: Jet impact on lift and drag . . . . .                    | 70         |
| 3.2.7                  | Configurational effects: Strake position . . . . .                                      | 72         |
| 3.2.8                  | Configurational effects: Beret Basque and strake . . . . .                              | 74         |
| 3.2.9                  | Configurational effects: Strake substitution with flow control . . .                    | 77         |
| 3.2.10                 | Configuration effects: Beret Basque substitution with flow control                      | 78         |
| 3.2.11                 | Configuration effects: Beret Basque and strake substitution with flow control . . . . . | 78         |
| 3.2.12                 | Repeatability of results . . . . .  | 79         |
| 3.2.13                 | Summarized maximum lift modifications . . . . .   | 81         |
| 3.2.14                 | Derived vortex model from flow visualizations . . . . .                                 | 82         |
| 3.2.15                 | Laser Light Sheet Visualization . . . . .   | 92         |
| 3.2.16                 | Jet mass flow assessment . . . . .  | 95         |
| <b>4</b>               | <b>Quantitative and Qualitative benefit evaluation</b>                                  | <b>101</b> |
| 4.1                    | Model description . . . . .   | 101        |
| 4.1.1                  | Geometry definition . . . . .   | 102        |
| 4.1.2                  | High speed aerodynamics . . . . .   | 103        |
| 4.1.3                  | Low speed aerodynamics . . . . .  | 105        |
| 4.1.4                  | Weight and mission performance . . . . .  | 107        |
| 4.1.5                  | Take-off and landing performance . . . . .  | 108        |
| 4.2                    | Aircraft resizing trades . . . . .  | 110        |
| 4.2.1                  | Optimum cutback configuration in comparison to reference configuration . . . . .        | 111        |
| 4.2.2                  | Optimization for medium and strong flow control as cutback mitigation . . . . .         | 118        |
| <b>5</b>               | <b>Summary</b>  | <b>123</b> |
| <b>6</b>               | <b>Conclusion and way forward</b>   | <b>127</b> |
| <b>7</b>               | <b>Appendix</b>   | <b>129</b> |
| 7.1                    | Aircraft with flow control applied to the wing trailing edge: . . . . .                 | 129        |
| <b>Reference</b>       |   | <b>131</b> |
| <b>List of Figures</b> |   | <b>148</b> |