

Table of contents

List of abbreviations.....	IX
List of figures	X
List of tables.....	XI
I Introduction	1
I.1 Theoretical background.....	2
I.1.1 MCS, Performance evaluation and the provision of incentives	3
I.1.2 A glimpse of agency theory	4
I.1.3 Behavioral economics and the use of psychology in MAC research.....	6
I.2 Scope and research questions	7
I.3 Contribution	11
I.4 Structure	14
II Psychology in management accounting and control research: an overview of the recent literature	16
Abstract	17
II.1 Introduction	18
II.2 Psychology in MAC research.....	21
II.2.1 Brief overview of predominant subfields.....	21
II.2.2 Origins and development	23
II.3 Methods.....	26
II.3.1 Review scope, material collection and article selection.....	26

II.3.2	Process and methods of analysis	29
II.4	Findings	33
II.4.1	Descriptive analysis.....	33
II.4.2	Content analysis	38
II.5	Discussion and avenues for future research	62
II.6	Conclusion.....	70
	Appendix II	74
	References	76
III	The effects of process transparency and prior evaluation results on subjective evaluation decisions	101
	Abstract	103
III.1	Introduction	104
III.2	Hypothesis development	107
III.2.1	Process transparency – a double-edged sword?	107
III.2.2	The influence of prior evaluation results.....	110
III.2.3	The interplay of process transparency and prior evaluation results	112
III.3	Research design.....	114
III.3.1	Experimental scenario	114
III.3.2	Manipulations and procedure	114
III.3.3	Implementation and participants	117
III.4	Results	118
III.4.1	Descriptive statistics.....	118

III.4.2	Hypothesis tests.....	121
III.4.3	Supplemental analysis	127
III.5	Discussion and conclusion	129
Appendix III		134
References		136
IV	Subjectively attractive – how process transparency influences sorting between performance-based contracts and long-term oriented decision-making	145
	Abstract	146
IV.1	Introduction	147
IV.2	Hypothesis development	151
IV.2.1	The effects of process transparency on sorting between performance-based contracts	151
IV.2.2	Process transparency and long-term oriented decision-making.....	154
IV.3	Research design.....	157
IV.3.1	Experimental scenario and materials.....	157
IV.3.2	Manipulation	159
IV.3.3	Implementation and participants	160
IV.3.4	Main variables	160
IV.4	Results	161
IV.4.1	Manipulation, attention checks and demographics	161
IV.4.2	Descriptive statistics.....	162
IV.4.3	Hypothesis tests.....	163

IV.4.4	Supplemental analysis	166
IV.5	Discussion and conclusion	171
	Appendix IV	175
	References	178
V	General discussion and conclusion	186
V.1	Limitations	187
V.2	Broader research questions and implications for research	188
V.2.1	How does the use of psychological theories and concepts foster research on subjective performance evaluation?	189
V.2.2	What insights can be gained from examining how PT shapes behavior in subjective performance evaluation contexts?	190
V.3	Implications for practice.....	191
	Appendix – Research instruments.....	193
	Appendix 1: Instrument for “The effects of process transparency and prior evaluation results on subjective evaluation decisions”	194
	Appendix 2: Instrument for “Subjectively attractive – how process transparency influences sorting between performance-based contracts and long-term oriented decision-making”	214
	References	238